# A BIBLIOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF LITERATURE ON GENDER AND TECHNOLOGY FROM WEB OF KNOWLEDGE 

Arjunan S. ${ }^{1}$ Surya M. ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Sr. Librarian Surya Engineering College, Erode and Research Scholar, Dept of Library and Information Science, Annamalai University, Chidambaram<br>${ }^{2}$ Professor and Librarian, Annamalai University, Chidambaram


#### Abstract

Purpose: The study has been undertaken with the purpose of finding out the growth and characteristics of Gender in Information Technology (IT) literature from Web of Knowledge.

Design / Methodology / Approach: Data were collected in 4th March 2010 from Web of Knowledge database. Over 1819 records are downloaded from the database of Web of knowledge during the period of 1991 to 2010 for this study. This article analyzed to study year wise distribution, author's productivity and prominent contributors, continent and country wise distribution of records and journals, core journals in the subject area, indexing term frequency, etc.

Findings: Some of the important findings are that the relative growth rate is 0.30 and the doubling time 4. 24 years.North American continent is the highest publication output and USA is the highest research output. Maximum numbers of articles were published in the journals of 'Computers in Human Behavior'. Gender, Technology and Use indexing terms are comes in first three ranks.

Originality/Value: This paper is relevant to those interested in bibliometrics and provides a comprehensive overview of the specific subject community.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

This study applies only to Gender and Technology, today technology is gaining moment throughout the globe and to a great extent livelihood for different parts of daily activities in the world. The technology adapting to the current trends in ICT is amazingly important and still changing our world lifestyle.

## II. AIM AND METHODOLOGY

Looking at this emerging significance of Gender and Technology increased publication activity into this subject it was thought to carry out a bibliometric analysis of scientific output in this area. The major aims and objective were to study:

- Relative Growth rate and doubling time
- Country wise distribution of journals
- Prolific authors
- Indexing terms frequency

Table 1. Relative Growth Rate of research out on Gender and Technology

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | Year | Resea <br> rch output | C. <br> O/P | W | W 2 | R (a) | Mean <br> $R$ (a) | DT | Mean DT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1991 | 4 | 4 | - | 1.39 | - | 0.51 | - | 1.03 |
| 2 | 1992 | 3 | 7 | 1.39 | 1.95 | 0.56 |  | 1.24 |  |
| 3 | 1993 | 9 | 16 | 1.95 | 2.77 | 0.82 |  | 0.85 |  |
| 4 | 1994 | 22 | 38 | 2.77 | 3.64 | 0.87 |  | 0.80 |  |
| 5 | 1995 | 14 | 52 | 3.64 | 3.95 | 0.31 |  | 2.24 |  |
| 6 | 1996 | 26 | 78 | 3.95 | 4.36 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 1.69 | 1.91 |
| 7 | 1997 | 24 | 102 | 4.36 | 4.62 | 0.26 |  | 2.67 |  |
| 8 | 1998 | 40 | 142 | 4.62 | 4.96 | 0.34 |  | 2.04 |  |
| 9 | 1999 | 89 | 231 | 4.96 | 5.44 | 0.48 |  | 1.44 |  |
| 10 | 2000 | 113 | 344 | 5.44 | 5.84 | 0.4 |  | 1.73 |  |
| 11 | 2001 | 106 | 450 | 5.84 | 6.11 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 2.57 | 3.7 |
| 12 | 2002 | 86 | 536 | 6.11 | 6.28 | 0.17 |  | 4.08 |  |
| 13 | 2003 | 129 | 665 | 6.28 | 6.50 | 0.22 |  | 3.15 |  |
| 14 | 2004 | 114 | 779 | 6.50 | 6.66 | 0.16 |  | 4.33 |  |
| 15 | 2005 | 138 | 917 | 6.66 | 6.82 | 0.16 |  | 4.33 |  |
| 16 | 2006 | 162 | 1079 | 6.82 | 6.98 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 4.33 | 10.32 |
| 17 | 2007 | 237 | 1316 | 6.98 | 7.18 | 0.2 |  | 3.47 |  |
| 18 | 2008 | 259 | 1575 | 7.18 | 7.36 | 0.18 |  | 3.85 |  |
| 19 | 2009 | 217 | 1792 | 7.36 | 7.49 | 0.13 |  | 5.33 |  |
| 20 | 2010 | 27 | 1819 | 7.49 | 7.51 | 0.02 |  | 34.65 |  |
|  |  | 1819 |  |  |  | 6.12 | 0.30 | 84.77 | 4.24 |

It is observed from the above table that there is a gradual increment of research output from during year 1991. We can conclude the growth of gender and technology publication literature has increased in a tremendous manner especially during 2008 (14.2\%).The year 2010 is having very less because of that is current year, the data base (web of Knowledge) don't have the sufficient data on the time accessed.

The above table reveals the overall study period has witnessed a mean relative growth rate of 0.30 . The relative growth rate value decreased from 0.56 to 0.02 during the periods 1991 to 2010.Significantly, the doubling rime for research output of Gender and Technology has decreased from 1.24 during 1991 to 5.33 during 2009.Growth rate value 34.65 is during 2010, because it is less collection from the database. The whole study period mean doubling time of research output on Gender and Technology publication as 4.24 years. Consequently the mean doubling rime for publication has also shown a declining trend, due to rapid increase.

Table 2. Analysis of Continents / Countries Wise Research Output on Gender and Technology

| S.No | Country | Research <br> Output | \% from <br> Continent | \% from <br> whole <br> records | Cumulative <br> Output |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African countries (A) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | South Africa | 20 | 33.33 | 1.10 | 20 |
| 2 | Nigeria | 12 | 20 | 0.66 | 32 |
| 3 | Kenya | 6 | 10 | 0.33 | 38 |
| 4 | Egypt | 3 | 5 | 0.16 | 41 |
| 5 | Zimbabwe | 3 | 5 | 0.16 | 44 |
| 6 | Malawi | 2 | 3.33 | 0.11 | 46 |
| 7 | Ghana | 2 | 3.33 | 0.11 | 48 |
| 8 | Ethiopia | 2 | 3.33 | 0.11 | 50 |
| 9 | Morocco | 2 | 3.33 | 0.11 | 52 |
| 10 | Tanzania | 1 | 1.67 | 0.05 | 53 |
| 11 | Zambia | 1 | 1.67 | 0.05 | 54 |
| 12 | Uganda | 1 | 1.67 | 0.05 | 55 |
| 13 | Senegal | 1 | 1.67 | 0.05 | 56 |
| 14 | Burkina Faso | 1 | 1.67 | 0.05 | 57 |
| 15 | Mozambique | 1 | 1.67 | 0.05 | 58 |
| 16 | Gambia | 1 | 1.67 | 0.05 | 59 |
| 17 | Cote I voire | 1 | 1.67 | 0.05 | 60 |
| Total | (A) | 60 |  | 3.3 |  |


| S.No | Country | Research Output | \% from <br> Continent | \% from whole records | Cumulative Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian countries (B) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | China | 39 | 17.33 | 2.14 | 39 |
| 19 | Taiwan | 39 | 17.33 | 2.14 | 78 |
| 20 | Japan | 26 | 11.56 | 1.43 | 104 |
| 21 | South Korea | 23 | 10.22 | 1.26 | 127 |
| 22 | Israel | 23 | 10.22 | 1.26 | 150 |
| 23 | India | 19 | 8.44 | 1.04 | 169 |
| 24 | Singapore | 13 | 5.78 | 0.71 | 182 |
| 25 | Jordan | 15 | 6.67 | 0.82 | 197 |
| 26 | Malaysia | 3 | 1.33 | 0.16 | 200 |
| 27 | Lebanon | 3 | 1.33 | 0.16 | 203 |
| 28 | Philippines | 3 | 1.33 | 0.16 | 206 |
| 29 | Pakistan | 3 | 1.33 | 0.16 | 209 |
| 30 | Saudi Arabia | 2 | 0.89 | 0.11 | 211 |
| 31 | Bahrain | 2 | 0.89 | 0.11 | 213 |
| 32 | Thailand | 2 | 0.89 | 0.11 | 215 |
| 33 | Bangladesh | 2 | 0.89 | 0.11 | 217 |
| 34 | Syria | 2 | 0.89 | 0.11 | 219 |
| 35 | Cambodia | 1 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 220 |
| 36 | Iran | 1 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 221 |
| 37 | Sri Lanka | 1 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 222 |
| 38 | Georgia | 1 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 223 |
| 39 | Oman | 1 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 224 |
| 40 | U AEmirates | 1 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 225 |
| Total | (B) | 225 |  | 12.37 |  |
| European countries (C) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | UK | 225 | 36.8 | 12.4 | 225 |
| 42 | Germany | 62 | 10.1 | 3.4 | 287 |
| 43 | Netherlands | 50 | 8.2 | 2.7 | 337 |
| 44 | Sweden | 41 | 6.7 | 2.3 | 378 |
| 45 | Italy | 32 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 410 |
| 46 | Turkey | 27 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 437 |
| 47 | Spain | 25 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 462 |
| 48 | France | 21 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 483 |
| 49 | Switzerland | 20 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 503 |
| 50 | Norway | 17 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 520 |
| 51 | Finland | 17 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 537 |
| 52 | Belgium | 16 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 553 |
| 53 | Denmark | 15 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 568 |
| 54 | Greece | 11 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 579 |
| 55 | Austria | 8 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 587 |


| S.No | Country | Research Output | \% from <br> Continent | \% from whole records | Cumulative Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | Ireland | 3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 590 |
| 57 | Portugal | 3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 593 |
| 58 | Romania | 2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 595 |
| 59 | Cyprus | 2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 597 |
| 60 | Hungary | 2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 599 |
| 61 | Croatia | 2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 601 |
| 62 | Czech Republic | 2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 603 |
| 63 | Slovakia | 2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 605 |
| 64 | Slovenia | 1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 606 |
| 65 | Serbia | 1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 607 |
| 66 | Poland | 1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 608 |
| 67 | Iceland | 1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 609 |
| 68 | Lithuania | 1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 610 |
| 69 | Malta | 1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 611 |
| Tota |  | 611 |  | 33.6 |  |
| North American countries (D) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | USA | 639 | 84.2 | 35.1 | 639 |
| 71 | Canada | 113 | 14.9 | 6.2 | 752 |
| 72 | Mexico | 7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 759 |
| Total |  | 759 |  | 41.7 |  |
| Australian countries (E) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | Australia | 75 | 86.2 | 4.1 | 75 |
| 74 | New Zealand | 12 | 13.8 | 0.65 | 87 |
| Tota |  | 87 |  | 4.8 |  |
| South American Countries (F) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | Brazil | 14 | 63.6 | 0.76 | 17 |
| 76 | Chile | 3 | 13.6 | 2.0 | 17 |
| 77 | Colombia | 2 | 9.0 | 0.1 | 19 |
| 78 | Venezuela | 1 | 4.5 | 0.05 | 20 |
| 79 | Bolivia | 1 | 4.5 | 0.05 | 21 |
| 80 | Cuba | 1 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 22 |
| Total (F) |  | 22 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Unknown (G) |  | 55 |  | 3.02 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grand Total }(A+B \\ & +C+D+E+ \\ & F+G) \end{aligned}$ |  | 1819 |  | 100 |  |

The above table predicts the evolution of the subject gender and technology. Only six continents (Africa, Asia, Europe, Australia, North America and South America) are taken for this analysis, the Antarctica is not having output so we aren't include. Totally 80 countries ( $96.97 \%$ ) are participated the
specific subject. From Africa 17 countries with 3.3 \%; from Asia 23 countries with 12.37 \%; from Europe 29 countries with 33.6 \%; from North America only three countries with 41.7 \%; from Australia only two countries with $4.8 \%$; from South America only six countries with $1.2 \%$ and from unknown countries with $3.02 \%$ were published the selected subject of gender and technology.lt could be noted that the North American countries have the highest and first place of the research output on gender and technology. The European continent takes the second place of research publication. Asian continent occupies the third place followed by Australian continent, African continent, unknown countries and South American countries respectively. It calculated from the whole research output of each country from selected continents, USA has the 35.1 percent with first place, UK has 12.4 \% with second place and Canada has 6.2 \% with third place respectively.

Analysis by continent wise, From the African continent South Africa, Nigeria and Kenya countries were the first three placed occupied for the publication of gender and technology field among the 17 countries. From Asian continents China and Taiwan are first rank with equal publication of the subject. Japan has been in the second place of research output. South Korea and Israel countries were stands with equal publication in third place among the 23 countries. European continent UK, Germany and Netherlands countries were stands the first, second and third places of their publication range of gender and technology among 29 countries. From North American continents only USA, Canada and Mexico countries were participated and occupied the first three places. From Australian continent only two countries, along with that Australia is the first place. From South American continent Brazil, Chile and Columbia were stands in first, second and third places among the six countries on the specific filed of gender and technology.

Table 3. Analysis of Prolific authors from the data

| S.No | Institution | Continent/Country | Records |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Johnson LA | GENET \& IVF INST, <br> FAIRFAX, VA | 7 |
| 2 | Venkatesh V | Univ Maryland, USA | 7 |
| 3 | Dahl E | Univ Giessen, Germany | 6 |
| 4 | Henwood F | Univ. London, England | 6 |


| S.No | Institution | Continent/Country | Records |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 5 | Siegrist M | Western Washington State <br> Univ, USA | 6 |
| 6 | Tsai CC | Natl Chiao Tung Univ, Taiwan | 6 |
| 7 | Adam A | UMIST, Dept Computat, <br> England | 5 |
| 8 | Allen MW | Univ Arkansas, USA | 5 |
| 9 | Faulkner W | Univ Edinburgh, Scotland | 5 |
| 10 | Jackson LA | Michigan State Univ, USA | 5 |
| 11 | Morris MG | Univ Maryland, USA | 5 |
| 12 | Selwyn N | Univ Wales, Wales | 5 |

For the above analysis, the researcher has taken only 12 authors in their higher publication among the total 4723 authors of the 1819 articles. Among the twelve, six authors were from USA, two authors from England, one from Germany, one form Scotland, one from Taiwan and another one from Wales. This analysis predicts authors form USA is highest. It was observed that contributed authors, 'Johnson LA' and 'Venkatesh V' from USA have contributed equal article regarding the gender and technology and being in the first place. 'Dahl E' from Germany; 'Henwood F' from England; "Siegrist M' from USA and 'Tsai CC' from Chinawere contributed in each six articles and occupied in the second place among the 4723 authors and 1819 articles.It was observed that 'Adam A' from England; 'Allen MW' from USA; 'Faulkner W' from Scotland; 'Jackson LA' from USA; 'Morris MG' from USA and 'Selwyn N' from Wales authors were contributedeach five articles.

Table 4. Indexing Terms Frequency from Web of Knowledge on Gender and Technology

| S.No | Word Occurrence | Records | \% of 1819 records |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gender | 437 | 24 |
| 2 | Technology | 416 | 22.9 |
| 3 | Use | 127 | 7.0 |
| 4 | Women | 126 | 6.9 |
| 5 | Information | 120 | 6.6 |
| 6 | Differences | 83 | 4.7 |
| 7 | Education | 83 | 4.6 |
| 8 | Science | 80 | 4.6 |
| 9 | Students | 4.4 |  |


| S.No | Word Occurrence | Records | \% of 1819 records |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Computer | 77 | 4.2 |
| 11 | Attitudes | 73 | 4.0 |
| 12 | Internet | 67 | 3.7 |
| 13 | Analysis | 66 | 3.6 |
| 14 | Learning | 65 | 3.6 |
| 15 | Social | 47 | 2.6 |
| 16 | Research | 46 | 2.5 |
| 17 | Role | 43 | 2.4 |
| 18 | Communication | 38 | 2.1 |
| 19 | Health | 37 | 2.1 |
| 20 | Perceptions | 34 | 1.0 |
| 21 | Digital | 32 | 1.8 |
| 22 | Development | 32 | 1.8 |
| 23 | Implications | 29 | 1.6 |
| 24 | Virtual | 28 | 1.5 |
| 25 | Female | 28 | 1.5 |
| 26 | ICT | 27 | 1.5 |
| 27 | Online | 26 | 1.4 |
| 28 | Mobile | 26 | 1.4 |
| 29 | Systems | 25 | 1.4 |
| 30 | Acceptance | 1.4 |  |
| 31 | Human | 1.4 |  |
| 32 | Survey | 25 |  |
|  |  | 25 |  |

It has been observed that 1819 articles can be searched by indexing terms 4702 indexing terms are occurred. 437 times ( $24 \%$ ) occurrence the term of 'Gender' with first place; 416 times ( 22.9 \%) occurrence 'Technology' with second place and 127 times (7\%) 'Use' occurred with third places respectively. 'Women' and 'Information' words are occurred equally 126 and 120 times. Difference, Education, Science and Students words are occurring nearly same 86 times. Computer, Attitude, Internet, analysis and Learning index words frequencies are nearly same value of 3.6 \%. Social, Research, role, Communication, Health and Perception indexing words are occurring above 2 \%. Digital, Development, Implications, Virtual, Female, ICT, Online, Mobile, Systems, Acceptance, Human and Survey indexing terms are occurring below 25 times (1.4 \%).

## CONCLUSION

The study has looked at patterns of relative growth rate and foubling time in the data base of Web of Knwoledge over twenty years period. The rgrowth rate is 0.30 ang the doubling time is 4.24 years for overall year output. The North american continent has having highes contribution of the subject gender and technology among the other continents. USA is the highest priority country of specific filed research output. Johnson LA and Venkatesh V authors were the prolific authors among the 4702 authors in 1819 records. Indexing terms, Gender, Technology, use, Women, Information and education are the highest frequency occurrence of the total records. This study showed a evidence of only developed countries are having good performance about this subject of gender and technology. For improving this research motivation is need for every scientist.
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